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## (193) Porisms, (Books) 1, 2, 3.

From Book 1:

1. (Prop. $127 a-e$ ) For the first porism.

Let there be figure $A B \Gamma \Delta E Z H$, and, as is $A Z$ to $Z H$, so let $A \Delta$ be to $\Delta \Gamma$, and let $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ be joined. That $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ is parallel to $\mathrm{A} \Gamma$.

Let $\mathbf{Z} \Lambda$ be drawn through $\mathbf{Z}$ parallel to $\mathbf{B} \Delta .^{1}$ Then since, as is AZ to ZH , so is $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma,{ }^{2}$ by inversion and componendo and alternando as is $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ to $A Z$, that is, in parallels, as is BA to $A \Lambda,{ }^{4}$ so is $\Gamma A$ to $A H .{ }^{3}$ Hence $\Lambda H$ is parallel to $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma} .{ }^{5}$ Therefore as is EB to $\mathrm{B} \Lambda$, so is $\angle \mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{H} .{ }^{6}$ But also as is EB to $\mathrm{B} \Lambda$, so>, in parallels, is EK to KZ. ${ }^{7}$ Thus as is EK to KZ, so is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{H} \cdot{ }^{8} \Theta \mathrm{~K}$ is therefore parallel to $\mathrm{A} \Gamma \cdot{ }^{9}$
(194) (Prop. $127 a-e$ ) By compound ratios, as follows:

Since, as is AZ to ZH , so is $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma,{ }^{1}$ by inversion, as is HZ to ZA , so is $\Gamma \Delta$ to $\Delta \mathrm{A} .{ }^{2}$ Componendo and alternando and convertendo, as is $A \Delta$ to $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$, so is $\mathrm{A} \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \mathrm{H} .{ }^{3}$ But the (ratio) of $A \Delta$ to $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ is compounded out of that of $\angle \mathrm{AB}$ to BE and that of EK to KZ ${ }^{4}$ (see commentary), while that of $\mathrm{A} \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$ (is compounded) out of that of $>\mathrm{AB}$ to BE and that of $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{OH}^{5}$ (see commentary). Therefore the ratio compounded out of that which AB has to BE and EK has to KZ is the same as the (ratio) compounded out of that which $A B$ has to $B E$ and $E \Theta$ has to $\Theta H .6$ And let the ratio of $A B$ to $B E$ be removed in common. Then there remains the ratio of $E K$ to $K Z$ equal to the ratio of $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{H} .{ }^{7}$ Thus $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ is parallel to $\mathrm{A} \Gamma .{ }^{8}$
(195) (Prop. 128) For the second porism.

Figure $A B \Gamma \triangle E Z H$. Let $A Z$ be parallel to $\triangle B$, and as is $A E$ to $E Z$, so let $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$ be to HZ . That the (line) through $\Theta, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Z}$ is straight.

Let $H \Lambda$ be drawn through $H$ parallel to $\Delta E,{ }^{1}$ and let $\Theta K$ be joined and produced to $\Lambda$. Then since, as is AE to EZ , so is $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$ to $\mathrm{HZ},{ }^{2}$ alternando as

той $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
$a^{\circ} . \epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \pi \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \sigma \mu a$.


 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{i}$ où $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \dot{\varsigma} \dot{\eta} \mathrm{AZ} \pi \rho \dot{o} \varsigma, \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{ZH}$, oü $\tau \omega \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mathrm{A} \Delta \pi \rho \dot{o} \varsigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \Delta \Gamma$,



 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mathrm{EB} \pi \rho \grave{\varsigma} \varsigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{B} \Lambda$, oü $\tau \omega \varsigma>\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \iota \dot{\eta} \mathrm{EK} \pi \rho \grave{o} \varsigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{KZ}$.
 $\pi a \rho a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s$ ä $\rho a \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ ӨК $\tau \tilde{\eta} \iota \mathrm{A} \mathrm{\Gamma}$.



















I$4 a^{\circ} \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{A} \| 5$ post $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ add $\dot{\eta}$ Ge (BS) $\left.\| 11 \mathrm{\Lambda H}\right] \mathrm{AH}^{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{1} \Lambda$ supra $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ $12 \dot{\eta}(\mathrm{E} \Theta)$ del $\mathrm{Hu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu, \pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \iota \dot{\eta} \mathrm{Heiberg}_{3} \mid \mathrm{E} \Theta$ - oö́ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ add
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \mathrm{H}$ Co \| $17 \mathrm{HZ} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{NZ} \mathrm{A} \| 19$ post $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ add $\dot{\eta} \mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{BS}) \mid \Delta \mathrm{Z}$ Co AZ

 $\pi a \rho a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s] \lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ A $\left.\pi a \rho a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s \mathrm{Co} \| 32 \pi a \rho a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \_\right]$
 spatium litterarum fere septem relictum $A$
is AE to $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$, so is EZ to $\mathrm{ZH} .{ }^{3}$ But as is AE to $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$, so is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda,{ }^{4}$ and alternando, because there are two by two (parallel lines). Therefore as is EZ to ZH , so is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda .{ }^{5}$ And $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ is parallel to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda .{ }^{6}$ Thus (VI, 32) the (line) through $\Theta, \Lambda, \mathrm{Z}$ is straight. ${ }^{7}$ Q.E.D.
(196) (Prop. $129 a-h$ ) Let two straight lines $\Theta E, \Theta \Delta$ be drawn onto three straight lines $\mathrm{AB}, \Gamma \mathrm{A}, \Delta \mathrm{A}$. That, as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}$, HZ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{ZE}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{B}, \Delta \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, \mathrm{B} \Gamma$.

Let $K \Lambda$ be drawn through $\Theta$ parallel to $Z \Gamma A,{ }^{1}$ and let $\Delta A$ and $A B$ intersect it at points K and $\Lambda$; and (let there be drawn) $\Lambda \mathrm{M}$ through $\Lambda$ parallel to $\Delta \mathrm{A},{ }^{2}$ and let it intersect E $\Theta$ at M. Then since, as is EZ to ZA, so is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta \Lambda,{ }^{3}$ while as is $A Z$ to $Z H$, so is $\Theta \Lambda$ to $\Theta M,{ }^{5}$ because $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ is to $\Theta H$ also (as is $\Theta \Lambda$ to $\Theta M$ ) in parallels, ${ }^{4}$ therefore ex aequali as is EZ to ZH , so is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{M} .{ }^{6}$ Therefore the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{HZ}$ equals the rectangle contained by EZ, $\Theta \mathrm{M} .7$ But (let) the rectangle contained by EZ, $\Theta \mathrm{H}$ (be) another arbitrary quantity. Then as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \mathrm{HZ}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EZ}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$, so is the rectangle contained by EZ, $\Theta \mathrm{M}$ to the rectangle contained by EZ, $\mathrm{H} \Theta,{ }^{8}$ that is $\Theta \mathrm{M}$ to $\Theta \mathrm{H},{ }^{9}$ that is $\Lambda \Theta$ to $\Theta K .1^{\circ}$ By the same argument also as is $K \Theta$ to $\Theta \Lambda$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, \mathrm{B} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{B}, \Gamma \Delta .{ }^{1}$ Вy inversion, therefore, as is $\Lambda \Theta$ to $\Theta K$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Theta B$, $\Gamma \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, B \Gamma .1^{2}$ But as is $\Lambda \Theta$ to $\Theta K$, so the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \mathrm{HZ}$ was shown to be to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EZ}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$. And thus as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \mathrm{HZ}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EZ}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{B}, \Gamma \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, \mathrm{B} \Gamma .1^{3}$
(197) (Prop. $129 a-h$ ) By means of compounded ratios, as follows:

Since the ratio of the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{HZ}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta H, Z E$ is compounded out of that which $\Theta E$ has to EZ and that which ZH has to $H \Theta,{ }^{1}$ and as is $\Theta E$ to $E Z$, so is $\Theta \Lambda$ to $Z A,{ }^{2}$ while as is ZH to $\mathrm{H} \Theta$, so is ZA to $\Theta \mathrm{K},{ }^{3}$ therefore the (ratio of the) rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{HZ}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{EZ}$ is compounded out of that which $\Theta \Lambda$ has to ZA and that which ZA has to $\Theta$ K. ${ }^{4}$ But the (ratio) compounded out of that which $\Theta \Lambda$ has to ZA and that which ZA has to $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ is the same as that of $\Theta \Lambda$ to $\Theta \mathrm{K} \cdot{ }^{5}$ Hence as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{HZ}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZE}$, so is $\Theta \Lambda$ to $\Theta \mathrm{K} .6$ For the same reasons also as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, B \Gamma$ to




 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\eta} \delta i \grave{a} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \theta, \Lambda, Z$. $\ddot{o}(\pi \epsilon \rho):-$
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[^0]the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{B}, \Gamma \Delta$, so is $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ to $\Theta \Lambda .{ }^{7}$ And by inversion, as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta B, \Gamma \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, \mathrm{B} \Gamma$, so is $\Lambda \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{K} . .^{8}$ But as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZE},<$ so was $\Theta \Lambda$ to $\Theta \mathrm{K}$. Thus, as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZE},>$ so is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{B}, \Gamma \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta$, ВГ. ${ }^{9}$
(198) (Prop. $130 a-h$ ) Figure ABГ $\triangle E Z H \Theta K \Lambda$. As is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AZ}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AB}, \Gamma \mathrm{Z}$, so let the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AZ}, \Delta \mathrm{E}$ be to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{A} \Delta, \mathrm{EZ}$. That the (line) through points $\Theta, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Z}$ is straight.

Since, as is the rectangle contained by $A Z, B \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AB}, \Gamma \mathrm{Z}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AZ}, \Delta \mathrm{E}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{A} \Delta, \mathrm{EZ},{ }^{1}$ alternando as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AZ}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AZ}, \Delta \mathrm{E}$, that is as is $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$ to $\Delta \mathrm{E},{ }^{3}$ so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AB}, \Gamma \mathrm{Z}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{A} \Delta, \mathrm{EZ} .^{2}$ But the ratio of $B \Gamma$ to $\Delta E$ is compounded, if $K M$ is drawn through $K$ parallel to $\mathrm{AZ},{ }^{4}$ out of that which $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$ has to KN and that which KN has to KM , and as well that which KM has to $\Delta \mathrm{E} .{ }^{5}$ But the (ratio) of the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{AB}, \Gamma \mathrm{Z}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{A} \Delta, \mathrm{EZ}$ is compounded out of that of BA to $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ and that of $\Gamma \mathrm{Z}$ to ZE. ${ }^{6}$ Let the (ratio) of BA to $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ be removed in common, this being the same as that of NK to KM. ${ }^{7}$ Then the remaining (ratio) of $\Gamma Z$ to $Z E$ is compounded out of that of $B \Gamma$ to $K N$, that is that of $\Theta \Gamma$ to $K \Theta, 9$ and that of $K M$ to $\Delta E$, that is that of $K H$ to HE. ${ }^{10} 8$ Thus the (line) through $\Theta, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Z}$ is straight.

For if I draw $E \Xi$ through $E$ parallel to $\Theta \Gamma, 1^{1}$ and $\Theta H$ is joined and produced to $\Xi$, the ratio of KH to HE is the same as that of $\mathrm{K} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{E} \Xi, 12$ while the (ratio) compounded out of that of $\Gamma \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ and that of $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ to $\mathrm{E} \Xi$ is converted into the ratio of $\Theta \Gamma$ to $\mathrm{E} \Xi, 1^{13}$ and the ratio of $\Gamma \mathrm{Z}$ to ZE is the same as that of $\Gamma \Theta$ to $E \Xi .14$ Because $\Gamma \Theta$ is (therefore) parallel to $E \Xi, 15$ the (line) through $\Theta, \Xi, \mathbf{Z}$ is straight; ${ }^{16}$ for that is obvious. Therefore the (line) through $\Theta, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Z}$ is also straight. ${ }^{17}$
(199) (Prop. 131) If there is figure $\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{\Gamma} \triangle \mathrm{EZH} \Theta$, then as $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ is to $\Delta \Gamma$, so is $A B$ to $B \Gamma$. So let $A B$ be to $B \Gamma$ as is $A \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma$. That the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}, \Theta$ is straight.




























 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.


 $\dot{\eta} x \theta \omega$ ठià $\tau 0 \tilde{u} \mathrm{H} \tau \tilde{\eta} \iota \mathrm{A} \Delta \pi a \rho a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s \dot{\eta} \mathrm{~K} \Lambda$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota$ ỡ $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\eta}$


Let $K \Lambda$ be drawn through $H$ parallel to $A \Delta .1$ Then since as is $A \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma$, so is $A B$ to $B \Gamma,{ }^{2}$ while as is $A \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma$, so is $K \Lambda$ to $\Lambda H,{ }^{3}$ and as is $A B$ to $B \Gamma$, so is KH to $\mathrm{HM},{ }^{4}$ therefore as is $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ to $\Lambda H$, so is KH to $\mathrm{HM} .{ }^{5}$ And remainder $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ is to remainder $\Lambda \mathrm{M}$ as is $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ to $\Lambda \mathrm{H},{ }^{6}$ that is as $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ is to $\Delta \Gamma .{ }^{7}$ Alternando as is $A \Delta$ to $H \Lambda$, so is $\Gamma \Delta$ to $\Lambda M,{ }^{8}$ that is $\Delta \Theta$ to $\Theta \Lambda .{ }^{9}$ And $H \Lambda$ is parallel to AB. $1^{\circ}$ Hence the (line) through points $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}$ is straight; ${ }^{1} 1$ for this is obvious.
(200) (Prop. 132) Again if there is a figure ( $\mathrm{AB} \Gamma \Delta \mathrm{EZH}$ ), and $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ is parallel to $B \Gamma$, then $A B$ equals $B \Gamma$. So let it be equal. That $(\Delta Z)$ is parallel (to $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma}$ ).

But it is. For if, with EB drawn through, I make $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ equal to $\mathrm{HB}, 1$ and $I$ join $A \Theta$ and $\Theta \Gamma$, then there results a parallelogram $A \Theta \Gamma H,{ }^{2}$ and because of this, as is $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ to $\Delta \mathrm{E}$, so is $\Gamma \mathrm{Z}$ to ZE. ${ }^{4}$ For each of the foregoing (ratios) is the same as the ratio of $\Theta \mathrm{H}$ to HE. ${ }^{3}$ Thus (VI, 2) $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ is parallel to $\mathrm{A} \Gamma .{ }^{5}$
(201) (Prop. 133) Let there be a figure (АВГ $\triangle$ EZHQ), and let BA be a mean proportional between $\triangle B$ and $B \Gamma$. That $Z H$ is parallel to $A \Gamma$.

Let EB be produced, and let AK be drawn through A parallel to straight line $\Delta \mathrm{Z},{ }^{1}$ and let $\Gamma \mathrm{K}$ be joined. Then since as is $\Gamma \mathrm{B}$ to BA , so is $A B$ to $B \Delta,{ }^{2}$ while as is $A B$ to $B \Delta$, so is $K B$ to $B \Theta,{ }^{3}$ therefore as is $\Gamma B$ to $B A$, so is $K B$ to $B \Theta .4$ Hence $A \Theta$ is parallel to $K \Gamma .{ }^{5}$ Therefore again, as is AZ to ZE , so is $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$ to $\mathrm{HE} ;{ }^{7}$ for either of the foregoing ratios is the same as that of $\mathrm{K} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{E} \Theta .{ }^{6}$ Thus ZH is parallel to $\mathrm{A} \Delta .{ }^{8}$
(202) (Prop. 134) Let there be an "altar" $\mathrm{AB} Г \Delta \mathrm{EZH}$, and let $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ be parallel to $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, and EH to BZ . That $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ too is parallel to $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$.

Let $\mathrm{BE}, \Delta \Gamma$, and ZH be joined. Then triangle $\triangle \mathrm{BE}$ equals triangle $\Delta \Gamma E .1$ Let triangle $\triangle A E$ be added in common. Then all triangle ABE equals all triangle $\Gamma \Delta \mathrm{A} .{ }^{2}$ Again, since BZ is parallel to $\mathrm{EH},{ }^{3}$ triangle BZE equals triangle BZH. ${ }^{4}$ Let triangle ABZ be subtracted in common. Then the remaining triangle ABE equals the remaining triangle AHZ. ${ }^{5}$ But













 $\pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{HE} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \iota$. $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o ́ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \Delta \mathrm{Z} \tau \tilde{\eta} \iota \mathrm{A} \Gamma$.








 $\pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o ́ s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mathrm{ZH} \tau \tilde{\eta} \iota \mathrm{A} \Delta$.





 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \tau \grave{o}$ BZE $\tau \rho i \gamma \omega \nu 0 \nu \tau \omega ̃ \iota$ BZH $\tau \rho \iota \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \iota$. ко८ขò $\nu \dot{a} \phi \eta \iota \rho \eta \sigma \theta \omega$



 $\mathrm{AB}] \Delta \Theta \mathrm{AA} \Delta \mathrm{Co} \|{ }^{11} \delta \iota a x \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta \mathrm{~s}$ rins $\left.\mathrm{EB} \theta \tilde{\omega}\right] \delta \iota a ̀ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{~EB} \theta \tilde{\omega}$ $\mathrm{A} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mathrm{~EB} \theta \tilde{\omega}$ Hu $\tau \tilde{\eta} \subset$ EB $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \tilde{\omega}$ Heiberg $_{3}$, del Co 14

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \mathrm{Hu} \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \mathrm{A} \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma} \tau \rho i \tau \eta \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{\Gamma В}, \mathrm{AB} \tau \rho i \tau \eta$ Breton $|17 \mathrm{BA} \mathrm{Hu} \mathrm{BA} \mathrm{A}| \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \mathrm{Co} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \lambda \eta \theta \in \tilde{\iota} \sigma a \mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{EB} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{AB}$ A \| $21 \mathrm{BA} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{B} \Lambda \mathrm{A} \| 22 \mathrm{~A} \Theta$ Co $\Lambda \Theta \mathrm{A} \| 23 \mathrm{ZE} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\Gamma} \mathrm{~A} \mid$

 app \| $32 \dot{a} \phi \eta \iota \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega \mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{BS}) \dot{a} \phi a \iota \rho \eta \sigma \theta \omega \mathrm{~A}$
triangle $A B E$ equals triangle $A \Gamma \Delta$. Therefore triangle $A \Gamma \Delta$ too equals triangle AZH. ${ }^{6}$ Let triangle $A \Gamma H$ be added in common. Then all triangle $\Gamma \Delta H$ equals all triangle $\Gamma$ ZH. ${ }^{7}$ And they are on the same base, $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$. Hence $(\mathrm{I}, 39) \Gamma \mathrm{H}$ is parallel to $\Delta \mathrm{Z} .{ }^{8}$
(203) (Prop. 135) Let there be triangle $\mathrm{AB} \Gamma$, and let $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ and AE be drawn through it, and let ZH be drawn parallel to $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, and let $\mathrm{Z} \Theta \mathrm{H}$ be inflected. Let $\Delta \Theta$ be to $\Theta E$ as is $B \Theta$ to $\Theta \Gamma$. That $K \Lambda$ is parallel to $B \Gamma$.

For since $\Delta \Theta$ is to $\Theta E$ as is $B \Theta$ to $\Theta \Gamma,{ }^{1}$ therefore remainder $B \Delta$ is to remainder $\Gamma E$ as is $\Delta \Theta$ to $\Theta E .{ }^{2}$ But as is $B \Delta$ to $E \Gamma$, so is ZM to $N H .{ }^{3}$ $<$ Hence as is ZM to $\mathrm{NH},>$ so is $\Delta \Theta$ to $\Theta E .{ }^{4}$ Alternando as is ZM to $\Delta \Theta$, so is $N H$ to $\Theta E .5$ But as is $Z M$ to $\Delta \Theta$, so is $Z K$ to $K \Theta$ in parallels; ${ }^{6}$ while as HN is to $\Theta \mathrm{E}$, so is $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ to $\Lambda \Theta .{ }^{7}$ Therefore as is ZK to $\mathrm{K} \Theta$, so is $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ to $\Lambda \Theta .{ }^{8}$ Thus $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ is parallel to $\mathrm{HZ}, 9^{9}$ and therefore also to $\Gamma \mathrm{B} .{ }^{10}$
(204) (Prop. 136) Let two straight lines $\Delta \Theta, \Theta \mathrm{E}$ be drawn onto two straight lines BAE, $\triangle \mathrm{AH}$ from point $\Theta$. Let the rectangle contained by $\Theta H$, ZE be to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ as is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Theta, B \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Gamma, B \Theta$. That the (line) through $\Gamma, A$, Z is straight.

Let $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ be drawn through $\Theta$ parallel to $\Gamma \mathrm{A},{ }^{1}$ and let it intersect AB and $A \Delta$ at points $K$ and $\Lambda$. And let $\Lambda M$ be drawn through $\Lambda$ parallel to $\mathrm{A} \Delta,{ }^{2}$ and let $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ be produced to M . And let KN be drawn through K parallel to $A B,^{3}$ and let $\Delta \Theta$ be produced to $N$.

Then since because of the parallels $\Delta \Gamma$ is to $\Gamma B$ as is $\Delta \Theta$ to $\Theta N,{ }^{4}$ therefore the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Theta, \Gamma B$ equals the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Gamma, \Theta N .{ }^{5}$ (Let) the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Gamma, \mathrm{B} \Theta$ (be) some other arbitrary quantity. Then as is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Theta, B \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Gamma, B \Theta$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \Delta, \Theta N$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Gamma, \mathrm{B} \Theta, 6$ that is $\Theta \mathrm{N}$ to $\Theta \mathrm{B} .{ }^{7}$ But as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \Delta, B \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Gamma, B \Theta$, so was the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZE}$ assumed to be to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH},{ }^{8}$ while as is $\Theta \mathrm{N}$ to $\Theta \mathrm{B}$, so is $K \Theta$ to $\Theta \Lambda,{ }^{9}$ that is in parallels $\mathrm{H} \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{M},{ }^{10}$ that is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZE}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{ZE} .^{11}$ Hence as is the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZE}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Theta H, Z E$ to the rectangle contained by $\Theta M, Z E .^{2}$ Therefore <the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}>$ equals <the rectangle contained by $\Theta \mathrm{M}$, ZE. ${ }^{13}$ In ratio, therefore, $>$ as is $M \Theta$ to $\Theta E$, so is HZ to ZE. ${ }^{14}$ Componendo ${ }^{15}$ and alternando as is ME to EH , so is $\Theta \mathrm{E}$ to $\mathrm{EZ} .^{16}$ But $\Lambda \mathrm{E}$ is to EA as is ME to EH. ${ }^{17}$ Therefore as is $\Lambda \mathrm{E}$ to EA, so is $\Theta E$ to EZ. ${ }^{18}$ Hence $A Z$ is parallel to $K \Lambda .^{19}$ But $\Gamma A$ is also (parallel) to (K $) .20$ Thus $\Gamma A Z$ is straight. ${ }^{1}$ Q.E.D.
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The characteristics of the cases of this (proposition are) as the foregoing ones, of which it is the converse.
(205) (Prop. 137) Triangle $\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, and $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ parallel to $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$, and let $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ be drawn through and intersect $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$ at point E . That $\Gamma \mathrm{B}$ is to BE as is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EZ}, \mathrm{H} \Delta$.

Let $\Gamma \Theta$ be drawn through $\Gamma$ parallel to $\Delta \mathrm{E},{ }^{1}$ and let AB be produced to $\Theta$. Then since $\Gamma \Theta$ is to ZH as is $\Gamma \mathrm{A}$ to $\mathrm{AH},{ }^{2}$ while $\mathrm{E} \Delta$ is to $\Delta H$ as is $\Gamma \mathrm{A}$ to $\mathrm{AH},{ }^{3}$ therefore $\Theta \Gamma$ is to ZH as is $\mathrm{E} \Delta$ to $\Delta \mathrm{H} .{ }^{4}$ Hence the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \Theta, \Delta H$ equals the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Delta, \mathrm{ZH} .{ }^{5}$ (Let) the rectangle contained by EZ, $\mathrm{H} \Delta$ (be) some other arbitrary quantity. Then as is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{EZ}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \Theta, \Delta H$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{H}$, $E Z,{ }^{6}$ that is $\Gamma \Theta$ to $E Z,{ }^{7}$ that is $\Gamma B$ to $B E .{ }^{8}$ Thus as is the rectangle contained by $\triangle \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EZ}, \mathrm{H} \Delta$, so is $\Gamma \mathrm{B}$ to BE . The same if parallel $A \Delta$ is drawn on the other side, and the straight line $(\Delta \mathrm{E})$ is drawn through from $\Delta$ outside (the triangle) in the direction of $\Gamma$.
(206) (Prop. 138) Now that these things have been proved, let it be required to prove that, if AB and $\Gamma \Delta$ are parallel, and some straight lines $\mathrm{A} \Delta, \mathrm{AZ}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma, \mathrm{BZ}$ intersect them, and $\mathrm{E} \Delta$ and $\mathrm{E} \Gamma$ are joined, it results that the (line) through $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{M}$, and K is straight.

For since $\Delta \mathrm{AZ}$ is a triangle, and AE is parallel to $\Delta \mathrm{Z},{ }^{1}$ and $\mathrm{E} \Gamma$ has been drawn through intersecting $\Delta Z$ at $\Gamma$, by the foregoing (lemma) it turns out that as $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ is to $\mathrm{Z} \Gamma$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E} .{ }^{2}$ Again, since $\Gamma \mathrm{BZ}$ is a triangle, and BE
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has been drawn parallel to $\Gamma \Delta,{ }^{3}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ has been drawn through intersecting $\Gamma Z \Delta$ at $\Delta$, it turns out that as $\Gamma Z$ is to $Z \Delta$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \Lambda \mathrm{K}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \Lambda \mathrm{E} .4$ By inversion, therefore, as $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ is to $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \Delta \mathrm{E}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \Lambda \mathrm{K} .{ }^{5}$ But also as $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ is to $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, so was the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$. Therefore as the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \Lambda \mathrm{E}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{K} \Lambda .{ }^{6}$ This has been reduced to the (lemma) before last. Then since two straight lines $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \mathrm{E} \Delta$ have been drawn onto two straight lines $\Gamma M \Lambda, \Delta M \Theta$, and as the rectangle contained by $\Gamma E, H \Theta$ is to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{E} \Lambda$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \Lambda \mathrm{K}$, therefore the (line) through $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}$ is straight; ${ }^{7}$ for this was proved before (lemma 7.204).
(207) (Prop. 139) But now let AB and $\Gamma \Delta$ not be parallel, but let them intersect at $N$. That again the (line) through $H, M$, and $K$ is straight.

Since two (straight lines) $\Gamma E$ and $\Gamma \Delta$ have been drawn through from the same point $\Gamma$ onto three straight lines $A N, A Z, A \Delta$, it turns out that as is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Z} \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Delta$, $\Gamma Z$ (lemma 7.196). ${ }^{1}$ Again, since two (straight lines) $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \Delta \mathrm{N}$ have been drawn through from the same point $\Delta$ onto three straight lines $B N, B \Gamma, \Gamma Z$, as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Gamma, \mathrm{Z} \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Delta$, $\mathrm{Z} \Gamma$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{E} \Lambda$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{K} \Lambda .{ }^{2}$ But as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Gamma, \mathrm{Z} \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Delta, \Gamma \mathrm{Z}$, so the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ was proved to be to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$. Therefore as is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \Theta \mathrm{H}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{E} \Lambda$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{K} \Lambda .^{3}$ It has been reduced to the (lemma) which (it was reduced to) also in the case of the parallels. Because of the foregoing (lemma 7.204) the (line) through $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}$ is straight. ${ }^{4}$
(208) (Prop. 140) Let AB be parallel to $\Gamma \Delta$, and let AE and $\Gamma \mathrm{B}$ be drawn through, and (let) $Z$ (be) a point on $B H$, so that as is $\Delta E$ to $E \Gamma$, so will the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{HZ}$ be to the rectangle contained by ZB , $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$. That the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Z}, \Delta$ is straight.

Let $\Delta \Theta$ be drawn through $\Delta$ parallel to $\mathrm{B} \Gamma,{ }^{1}$ and let AE be produced to $\Theta$; and let $\Theta \mathrm{K}$ be drawn through $\Theta$ parallel to $\Gamma \Delta,{ }^{2}$ and let $B \Gamma$ be produced to K . Then since as is $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ to $\mathrm{E} \Gamma$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{ZH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BZ}, \Gamma \mathrm{H}$ (lemma 7.205), ${ }^{4}$ while as is $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ to $\mathrm{E} \Gamma$, so are $\Delta \Theta$ to $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$ and (consequently) the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Theta, \mathrm{BZ}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BZ},{ }^{3}$ therefore the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{B} \Gamma, \mathrm{ZH}$ equals the rectangle contained by $\Delta \Theta, \mathrm{BZ} .{ }^{5}$ Hence in
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ratio as $\Gamma B$ is to $B Z$, so is $\Delta \Theta$, that is $\Gamma K,{ }^{7}$ to $\mathrm{HZ} .{ }^{6}$ Hence the sum $K B$ is to the sum BH as $\mathrm{K} \Gamma$ is to $\mathrm{ZH},{ }^{8}$ that is as $\Delta \Theta$ is to $\mathrm{ZH} .^{9}$ But as is KB to BH , so in parallels are $\Theta \mathrm{A}$ to AH , and $\Delta \Theta$ to $\mathrm{ZH} .{ }^{10}$ And $\Delta \Theta$ and ZH are parallel. 11 Thus the (line) through points $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Z}, \Delta$ is straight. 12
(209) (Prop. 141) Now that this has been proved, let AB be parallel to $\Gamma \Delta$, and let straight lines $\mathrm{AZ}, \mathrm{ZB}, \Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E} \Delta$ intersect them, and let $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$ and HK be joined. That the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}, \Delta$ is straight.

Let $\Delta \mathrm{M}$ be joined and produced to $\Theta$. Then since, having a triangle $\mathrm{B} \Gamma \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{BE}$ has been drawn parallel to $\Gamma \Delta$ from the apex point B (and falling) outside (the triangle), and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ has been drawn through, it turns out (lemma 7.205) that as $\Gamma Z$ is to $Z \Delta$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{K} \Lambda$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Lambda, \mathrm{K} \Delta .{ }^{1}$ Thus as the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}$, $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ is to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{K}, \Lambda \mathrm{E}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ (lemma 7.196); ${ }^{2}$ for two (straight lines) $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \mathrm{E} \Delta$ have been drawn through from the same point E onto three straight lines $\Gamma \Lambda, \Delta \Theta, \mathrm{HK}$. And so as is $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ to $\mathrm{Z} \Gamma$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H} \Theta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}, \Theta \mathrm{E} .{ }^{3}$ And the (line) through $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}$ is straight. ${ }^{4}$ Hence by the foregoing (lemma 7.208) the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}, \Delta$ is also straight. ${ }^{5}$
(210) (Prop. $142 a-b$ ) Let two (straight lines) $\Delta \mathrm{B}, \Delta \mathrm{E}$ be drawn across two straight lines $A B, A \Gamma$ from the same point $\Delta$, and let points $H, \Theta$ be chosen on them. And as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EH}, \mathrm{Z} \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{HZ}$, so let the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{B} \Theta, \Gamma \Delta$ be to the rectangle contained by $B \Delta, \Gamma \Theta$. That the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}, \Theta$ is straight.

Let $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ be drawn through H parallel to $\mathrm{B} \Delta .{ }^{1}$ Then since as the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EH}, \mathrm{Z} \Delta$ is to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{B} \Theta, \Gamma \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{B} \Delta$, $\Gamma \Theta, 2$ while the ratio of the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EH}, \mathrm{Z} \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{HZ}$ is compounded out of that which HE has to $\mathrm{E} \Delta$, that is KH to $\mathrm{B} \Delta,{ }^{4}$ and that which $\Delta \mathrm{Z}$ has to ZH , that is $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda ;{ }^{5} 3$ and the ratio of the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{B} \Theta, \Gamma \Delta$ to the rectangle contained by $B \Delta, \Gamma \Theta$ is compounded out of that which $\Theta B$ has to $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ and that which $\Delta \Gamma$ has to $\Gamma \Theta, 6$ therefore the (ratio compounded) out of that of KH to $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ and that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $H \Lambda$ is the same as that compounded out of that of $B \Theta$ to $B \Delta$ and that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \Theta .{ }^{7}$ But the (ratio) of KH to $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ is compounded out of that of KH to $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ and that of $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{B} \Delta .^{8}$ Therefore the (ratio) compounded
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out of that of KH to $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ and that of $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ and furthermore of that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ is the same as the (ratio) compounded out of that of $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ to $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ and that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \Theta .9$ Let the ratio of $\Theta B$ to $B \Delta$ be removed in common. Then the remaining (ratio) compounded out of that of KH to $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ and that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ is the same as that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \Theta, 1^{10}$ that is the (ratio) compounded out of that of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ and that of $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ to $\Theta \Gamma .{ }^{1}$ And again, let the ratio of $\Delta \Gamma$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ be removed in common. Then the remaining ratio of KH to $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ is the same as that of $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$ to $\Theta \Gamma .12$ And alternando, as is KH to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$, so is $\mathrm{B} \Theta$ to $\Theta \Gamma .1^{3}$ And $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ and $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma}$ are parallel. ${ }^{14}$ Therefore the (line) through points $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}, \Theta$ is straight. ${ }^{15}$
(211) 18. (Prop. 143) But now let AB not be parallel to $\Gamma \Delta$, but let it intersect it at N .

Then since two straight lines $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \Delta \mathrm{N}$ have been drawn from the same point $\Delta$ across three straight lines $\mathrm{BN}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma, \mathrm{BZ}$, as the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Delta, \Gamma \mathrm{Z}$ is to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Gamma, \Delta Z$, so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{K} \Lambda$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Lambda, \mathrm{K} \Delta$ (lemma 7.196). 1 But as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Delta, \mathrm{K} \Lambda$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Lambda, \mathrm{K} \Delta$, so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \Gamma \mathrm{H}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \Theta \mathrm{H} ;{ }^{2}$ for again two (straight lines) $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \mathrm{E} \Delta$ have been drawn from the same point $E$ across three (straight lines) $\Gamma \Lambda$, $\Delta \Theta, \mathrm{HK}$. Therefore as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \Gamma \mathrm{H}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \Theta \mathrm{H}$, so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Delta, \Gamma Z$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{N} \Gamma, \mathrm{Z} \Delta .^{3}$ By the foregoing (lemma) the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \Theta, \Delta$ is straight. 4 Thus the (line) through $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}, \Delta$ too is straight. 5
(212) (Prop. 144) (Let there be) triangle $\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, and let $\mathrm{A} \Delta$ be drawn parallel to $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$, and let $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ZH}$ be drawn across. And as the square of EB is to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \Gamma В$, so let BH be to $\mathrm{H} \Gamma$. That, if $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ is joined, the (line) through $\Theta, K, \Gamma$ is straight.

Since, as is the square of EB to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \Gamma \mathrm{B}$, so is BH to $\mathrm{H} \Gamma,{ }^{1}$ let the ratio of $\Gamma \mathrm{E}$ to EB be applied in common, this being the same as that of the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \Gamma \mathrm{B}$ to the rectangle contained by ЕВ, ВГ. ${ }^{2}$ Then ex aequali the ratio of the square of EB to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EB}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma$, that is the (ratio) of EB to $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$, is the same as the (ratio) compounded out of that of BH to $\mathrm{H} \Gamma$ and that of the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \Gamma \mathrm{B}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EB}, \mathrm{B} \Gamma,{ }^{3}$ which is the same as that of EГ to EB. 4 Therefore the (ratio) of the square of EB to the
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rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EB}, \mathrm{B} \mathrm{\Gamma}$ is compounded out of that which BH has to $\mathrm{H} \Gamma$ and that which $\mathrm{E} \Gamma$ has to $\mathrm{EB}, 5$ which is the same as that of the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Gamma, \mathrm{BH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{EB}, \Gamma \mathrm{H} .{ }^{6}$ But as is EB to $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$, so, by the foregoing lemma (7.205), is *the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Z} \Theta$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{Z}, \Theta \mathrm{E} .{ }^{7}$ And therefore as is the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{BH}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Gamma \mathrm{H}$, EB , so is the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\Theta}$ to the rectangle contained by $\Delta \mathrm{Z}, \Theta \mathrm{E} .8$ * Therefore the (line) through $\Theta, \mathrm{K}, \Gamma$ is straight; ${ }^{9}$ for that is in the case-variants of the converses.
(213) (Prop. 145) Let two (straight lines) EZ, EB be drawn from some point E across three straight lines $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{A} \Gamma, \mathrm{A} \Delta$, and, as EZ is to ZH , so let $\Theta E$ be to $\Theta H$. That also as $B E$ is to $B \Gamma$, so is $E \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma$.

Let $\Lambda \mathrm{K}$ be drawn through H parallel to BE. ${ }^{1}$ Then since as is EZ to ZH , so is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta \mathrm{H},{ }^{2}$ but as is EZ to ZH , so is EB to $\mathrm{HK},{ }^{3}$ while as is $\mathrm{E} \Theta$ to $\Theta H$, so is $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda,{ }^{4}$ therefore as is BE to HK , so is $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda .^{5}$ Alternando, as is EB to $\mathrm{E} \Delta$, so is KH to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda .{ }^{6}$ But as is KH to $\mathrm{H} \Lambda$, so is $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \Delta .{ }^{7}$ Therefore as is BE to $\mathrm{E} \Delta$, so is $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$ to $\Gamma \Delta .^{8}$ Alternando, as is EB to $\mathrm{B} \Gamma$, so is $\mathrm{E} \Delta$ to $\Delta \Gamma .{ }^{9}$ The case-variants likewise.
(214) (Prop. 146) Let there be two triangles $\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{\Gamma}, \triangle \mathrm{EZ}$ that have angles $\mathrm{A}, \Delta$ equal. That, as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{A} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Delta, \Delta \mathrm{Z}$, so is triangle $\mathrm{AB} \Gamma$ to triangle $\mathrm{E} \Delta \mathrm{Z}$.

Let perpendiculars $\mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{E} \Theta$ be drawn. 1 Then since angle A equals $\Delta$, and $H$ (equals) $\Theta,{ }^{2}$ therefore as is $A B$ to $B H$, so is $\Delta E$ to $E \Theta .{ }^{3}$ But as $A B$ is to BH , so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{A} \mathrm{\Gamma}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{A} \mathrm{\Gamma}, 4$ while as is $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ to $\mathrm{E} \Theta$, so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Delta, \Delta \mathrm{Z}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \Delta \mathrm{Z} .{ }^{5}$ Therefore as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{A} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{A} \Gamma$, so is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Delta, \Delta \mathrm{Z}$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \Delta \mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{6}$ and alternando. 7 But as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{A} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Theta, \Delta \mathrm{Z}$, so is triangle $\mathrm{AB} \Gamma$ to triangle $\Delta \mathrm{EZ} ;{ }^{8}$ for each of BH and EO is a perpendicular of each of the triangles named. Therefore as is the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{A} \Gamma$ to the rectangle contained by $\mathrm{E} \Delta, \Delta \mathrm{Z}$, so is triangle $\mathrm{AB} \Gamma$ to triangle $\triangle \mathrm{EZ} .{ }^{9}$






 $\dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau \rho o \phi i \omega \nu$.











 $\dot{\dot{o}} \mu \mathrm{o} i \omega s$.












 $\tau \rho \iota \gamma \omega \nu 0 \nu$.


[^0]:     transp. Hu, quae omnia del Heiberg $\| 6$ post $\Theta$ add $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{S}) \mid$ post $\mathbf{Z}$ add $\tau 0 \cup \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota a ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \Theta, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Z}$ Hu $\| 11 \Delta(\mathrm{~A})$ in ras. $\mathrm{A} \mid$ pro $\dot{\eta}$ ММ каi coni. $\delta \iota a x \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \dot{\eta} \mathrm{M}$ Hu app \| 17 pro ruxò coni.
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