Homework IV, Math 736, Model-Theory.
Elements $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k-1}$ of an abelian group are called additively independent if

$$
\sum_{i<k} n_{i} a_{i} \neq 0
$$

for all integers $n_{0} \ldots, n_{k-1}$, not all of which are 0 .
Problem 7. Let $\mathbf{Q}$ be the abelian group of rational numbers. Show that there is an abelian group $\mathcal{G}$ such that:
$(*) \mathcal{G} \equiv \mathbf{Q}$, and
$(\dagger) \mathcal{G}$ contains $n$ additively independent elements for every $n$ in $\omega$.
Then show that any two such countable groups are isomorphic.
Now let $\mathcal{L}$ be an arbitrary signature. If $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{M o d}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, let us write

$$
\mathcal{M} \preccurlyeq_{1} \mathcal{N}
$$

if the inclusion of $M$ in $N$ preserves universal formulas of $\mathcal{L}$.
Problem 8. Prove that the following are equivalent:
(*) $\mathcal{M} \preccurlyeq 1 \mathcal{N}$
$(\dagger)$ there is $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathfrak{M o d}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mathcal{M} \preccurlyeq \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.
Suppose $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{n}: n \in \omega\right\}$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{M o d}(\mathcal{L})$ forming a chain, that is, $\mathcal{M}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ for all $n$ in $\omega$. Then the union of this chain is defined to be the structure $\mathcal{N}$, where:
(*) $N=\bigcup_{n \in \omega} M_{n}$, and
( $\dagger$ ) for all basic formulas $\phi$, if $\mathbf{a}$ is a tuple from $M_{n}$, and $\mathcal{M}_{n} \models \phi(\mathbf{a})$, then $\mathcal{N} \vDash \phi(\mathbf{a})$.
(You should verify that $\mathcal{N}$ is well-defined, but you need not submit the verification.) The chain is called elementary if $\mathcal{M}_{n} \preccurlyeq \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ for all $n$.
Problem 9. Show that the union of an elementary chain is an elementary extension of each structure in the chain.

Recall that a theory $T$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is called model-complete if $\mathcal{M} \preccurlyeq \mathcal{N}$ whenever $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and both structures are models of $T$. A formally weaker notion is 1 -model-completeness: $T$ is 1-model-complete if $\mathcal{M} \preccurlyeq 1 \mathcal{N}$ whenever $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and both structures are models of $T$.

Problem 10. Prove that 1-model-completeness and model-completeness coincide.

