

# On discrete exponentiation

David Pierce

2006.02.17

Some of these remarks might be incorporated into my notes for Math 111, or an article on induction.

An **inductive** structure is one in which proof by induction is possible: so it is a structure that has a distinguished element and a singular operation, but has no proper substructures. Usually the distinguished element is 0, and the operation is  $x \mapsto x + 1$ . On such a structure, the operations of addition and multiplication can be defined.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an inductive structure. Then there is a homomorphism  $h$  from  $(\omega, ', 0)$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $A$  is infinite, then  $h$  is an isomorphism. If  $A$  is finite, then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has two (finite) cardinal invariants, which determine its isomorphism class:

- (1)  $\min\{x \in \omega : \exists y h(x + y + 1) = h(x)\}$ ;
- (2)  $\min\{y \in \omega : \exists x h(x + y + 1) = h(x)\}$ .

Indeed, call these numbers  $k$  and  $n$ ; then  $A$  has  $k + n + 1$  elements, say

$$0, 1, \dots, k, k + 1, \dots, k + n;$$

and  $s^{\mathfrak{A}}(x) = x + 1$ , if  $x \neq k + n$ , but  $s^{\mathfrak{A}}(k + n) = k$ . If  $k = 0$ , then the structure is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/(n + 1)$ .

Exponentiation on inductive structures is an operation

$$(x, y) \mapsto x^y$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^0 &= 1; \\ x^{y+1} &= x^y \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

The value of  $0^y$  is unimportant and can be left undefined.

Naïvely, but wrongly, one might argue that exponentiation exists by induction: For,  $x^0$  is defined, and if  $x^y$  is defined, then  $x^{y+1}$  is defined.

A correct way to proceed would be to define a family of functions  $f_x$ , where  $x \neq 0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(0) &= 1; \\ f_x(y + 1) &= f_x(y) \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

One may define  $f_1$  as  $y \mapsto 1$ ; then one attempts to define  $f_{x+1}$  in terms of  $f_x$ . But the attempt must fail, since if the structure is  $\mathbb{Z}/(3)$ , then  $2^0 = 1$ ,  $2^1 = 2$ ,  $2^2 = 4 = 1$ , so  $2^3 = 2$ ; but also  $2^3 = 2^0 = 1$ , so  $2 = 1$ , which is absurd.

However, exponentiation is well-defined as a function from

$$\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$$

into

$$\mathbb{F}_p.$$

This is by the Fermat theorem

$$x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Also,  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  has a generator,  $\alpha$ . Then we have the inductive structure

$$(\mathbb{F}_p^\times, s, 1).$$

where  $s$  is  $x \mapsto x \cdot \alpha$ . We also have an isomorphism  $f_\alpha$  from  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$  into this structure, namely

$$y \mapsto \alpha^y.$$

Let  $f_1$  be  $y \mapsto 1$  as before, and given  $f_x$  as desired, define

$$f_{s(x)}(y) = f_x(y) \cdot f_\alpha(y).$$

Then  $f_{s(x)}(0) = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{s(x)}(y+1) &= f_x(y+1) \cdot f_\alpha(y+1) \\ &= f_x(y) \cdot x \cdot f_\alpha(y) \cdot \alpha \\ &= f_x(y) \cdot f_\alpha(y) \cdot x \cdot \alpha \\ &= f_{s(x)}(y) \cdot s(x). \end{aligned}$$